PROCEEDINGS OF SCIENCE

Search for Sterile Neutrinos at OPERA and other Long–Baseline Experiments

Luca Stanco[*†]
I.N.F.N. Padova (Italy)
E-mail: stanco@pd.infn.it

The OPERA experiment at the CNGS beam has observed muon to tau neutrino oscillations in the atmospheric sector. Based on this result new limits on the mixing parameters of a massive sterile neutrino may be set. Preliminary results of the analysis done in the $3+1$ neutrino framework are here presented.
An update of the search for sterile neutrinos in the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ channel is also given.
The status of the searches for sterile neutrinos performed at other Long–Baseline experiments (MINOS at NuMI beam and SuperK with the atmospheric flux) is also briefly recalled. Finally, some personal perspectives are discussed.

The European Physical Society Conference on High Energy Physics
22–29 July 2015
Vienna, Austria

[*]Speaker.
[†]On behalf of the OPERA Collaboration.

© Copyright owned by the author(s) under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International License (CC BY-NC-ND 4.0).

arXiv:1510.04151v1 [hep-ex] 14 Oct 2015

1. Introduction

The present scenario of the Standard Model (SM) for particle physics, being, so to say, suspended by the discovery of the Higgs boson, is desperately looking for new experimental inputs to provide a more comfortable and conformable theory. From another point of view, experiments on neutrinos have been so far an outstanding source of novelty and unprecedented results. In the latest two decades several results were obtained from the study of neutrinos, either from the atmospheric, solar and reactor ones or, more recently, from accelerator–based beams. Almost all these results contributed to strengthen a wonderful fullfilment of the flavour–SM.

On the other hand, some of the neutrino results (fortunately) concern anomalies that do not fit in the standard scenario. In particular three different kinds of experiments hint at the possible existence of at least a 4^{th} and *sterile* (i.e. not coupled to the weak interaction) neutrino. The excess of ν_e ($\overline{\nu}_e$) observed by the LSND [1] and MiniBooNE [2] collaborations and the so-called reactor [3] and Gallium [4, 5] neutrino anomalies can be coherently interpreted as due to the existence of a fourth sterile neutrino with a mass at the eV scale.

There are presently many proposals and experiments [6] that tentatively address the issue, and in the next few years results are expected to confirm/disprove the previous anomalies. However, to the conviction of the author, none of them will be able to establish the existence/interpretation of the results in terms of sterile neutrinos. In fact, any extension of the 3–flavour model, which is on the contrary perfectly adequate to the "standard" results, owns internal strong tensions between the interpretation of ν_e ($\overline{\nu}_e$) appearance/disappearance and the corresponding, required, appearance/disappearance of the other flavours, ν_μ and ν_τ [7].

Therefore it would be imperative to search for anomalies related to the appearance/disappearance of ν_μ and ν_τ neutrinos. Following this approach there are investigations pursued with neutrino accelerator beams, even if only (unfortunately) at Long–Baseline (LBL). In this paper we will present the very recent, preliminary result on the ν_τ non–standard appearance/disappearance, following the observation of the 5^{th} ν_τ candidate by the OPERA experiment [8]. After a description of the oscillation constraints at LBL (section 2), we will focus on the OPERA analyses, also including some very recent results in the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ channel (section 3). In section 4 reports on the MINOS and SuperK analyses will be shortly described. Conclusions and perspectives will be finally drawn (section 5).

2. The Long-Baseline scenario for sterile neutrinos

The presence of an additional sterile–state can be expressed in the extended PMNS [9] mixing matrix ($U_{\alpha i}$ with $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau, s$, and $i = 1, \ldots, 4$). In this model, called "3+1", the neutrino mass eigenstates $\nu_1, \ldots, \nu_4$ are labeled such that the first three states are mostly made of active flavour states and contribute to the "standard" three flavour oscillations with the squared mass differences $\Delta m^2_{21} \sim 7.5 \times 10^{-5}$ eV^2 and $|\Delta m^2_{31}| \sim 2.4 \times 10^{-3}$ eV^2, where $\Delta m^2_{ij} = m^2_i - m^2_j$. The fourth mass eigenstate, which is mostly sterile, is assumed to be much heavier than the others, 0.1 eV$^2 \lesssim \Delta m^2_{41} \lesssim 10$ eV^2. The opposite case in hierarchy, i.e. negative values of Δm^2_{41}, produces a similar phenomenology from the oscillation point of view but is disfavored by cosmological results on the sum of neutrino masses [10].

In a Short-Baseline experiment the oscillation effects due to Δm^2_{21} and Δm^2_{31} can be neglected since $L/E \sim 1$ km/GeV. Therefore the oscillation probability depends only on Δm^2_{41} and $U_{\alpha 4}$ with $\alpha = e, \mu, \tau$. In particular the survival probability of muon neutrinos can be given by an effective two–flavour oscillation formula.

Differently when $L/E \gg 1$ km/GeV, that is the case for the Long-Baseline experiments, the two–flavour oscillation is not a good approximation. In the case of the CNGS beam, when studying the ν_τ oscillation rate the only valid approximations correspond to neglect the solar–driven term, i.e. $\Delta m^2_{21} \sim 0$, and to discard the ν_e component of the beam. However when the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ channel is studied the intrinsic ν_e beam–component becomes a non–negligible factor [11].

Considering the (ν_μ, ν_τ, ν_s) triplet, together with the above two approximations, the most general oscillation probability $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$ can be written as:

$$\begin{aligned} P_{\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau} = {} & 4|U_{\mu 3}|^2 |U_{\tau 3}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} + 4|U_{\mu 4}|^2 |U_{\tau 4}|^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{41}}{2} \\ & + 2\Re[U^*_{\mu 4} U_{\tau 4} U_{\mu 3} U^*_{\tau 3}] \sin\Delta_{31} \sin\Delta_{41} \\ & - 4\Im[U^*_{\mu 4} U_{\tau 4} U_{\mu 3} U^*_{\tau 3}] \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} \sin\Delta_{41} \\ & + 8\Re[U^*_{\mu 4} U_{\tau 4} U_{\mu 3} U^*_{\tau 3}] \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{41}}{2} \\ & + 4\Im[U^*_{\mu 4} U_{\tau 4} U_{\mu 3} U^*_{\tau 3}] \sin\Delta_{31} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{41}}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

using the definition $\Delta_{ij} = 1.27\, \Delta m^2_{ij}\, L/E$ (*i,j=1,2,3,4*), with Δ_{31} and Δ_{41} expressed in eV^2, L in km and E in GeV. The first term corresponds to the standard oscillation, the second one to the pure exotic oscillation, while the next 4 terms correspond to the interference between the standard and sterile neutrinos. By defining $C = 2|U_{\mu 3}||U_{\tau 3}|$, $\phi_{\mu\tau} = Arg(U^\star_{\mu 3} U^\star_{\tau 3} U^\star_{\mu 4} U^\star_{\tau 4})$ and $\sin 2\theta_{\mu\tau} = 2|U_{\mu 4}||U_{\tau 4}|$ the expression can be re–written as:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Energy) = {} & C^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} + \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{41}}{2} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} C \sin 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \cos\phi_{\mu\tau} \sin\Delta_{31} \sin\Delta_{41} \\ & - C \sin 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \sin\phi_{\mu\tau} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} \sin\Delta_{41} \\ & + 2C \sin 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \cos\phi_{\mu\tau} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{41}}{2} \\ & + C \sin 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \sin\phi_{\mu\tau} \sin\Delta_{31} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{41}}{2}, \end{aligned}$$

where interesting dependences rise up, namely the sign of Δm^2_{13} (3^{rd} and 6^{th} terms) and the $\phi_{\mu\tau}$ CP-violating phase (4^{th} and 6^{th} terms). Finally, since at LBL $\sin\Delta_{41} \approx 0$ and $\sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{41}}{2} \approx \frac{1}{2}$, the following expression is obtained:

$$\begin{aligned} P(Energy) \simeq {} & C^2 \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} + \frac{1}{2} \sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \\ & + C \sin 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \cos\phi_{\mu\tau} \sin^2 \frac{\Delta_{31}}{2} \\ & + \frac{1}{2} C \sin 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \sin\phi_{\mu\tau} \sin\Delta_{31}. \end{aligned}$$

This formula indicates that we are sensitive to the effective sterile mixing angle, $\theta_{\mu\tau}$, the mass hierarchy (MH, Normal NH or Inverted IH) and to the CP–violating phase.

The method carried out by the OPERA collaboration is independently applied to the NH and IH cases. Maximization of the likelihood is performed over the CP-violation phase, $\phi_{\mu\tau}$, and the two effective mixing angles of the 3^{rd} and 4^{th} mass–states with ν_μ and ν_τ, the variables C and $\theta_{\mu\tau}$, respectively.

3. OPERA preliminary results on sterile neutrinos from ν_τ and ν_e

Results on sterile limits based on four ν_τ candidates have been very recently published by OPERA [12]. We report here the preliminary updated analysis based on the just discovered 5^{th} candidate [8]. In figure 1 the net result on the expected number of ν_τ candidates is shown in presence of a 4^{th} neutrino state, as a function of $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau}$ and Δm^2_{41}.



Figure 1: The expected increase/decrease of events for the 3+1 model, as function of Δm^2_{41} and the effective mixing angle, $\theta_{\mu\tau}$, of ν_μ and ν_τ with the 4^{th} neutrino state, and maximization over the other parameters.

From the picture it is evident that OPERA sensitivity is limited to the region ($\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} \gtrsim 0.1$, $\Delta m_{41} \gtrsim 0.01$ eV^2). Therefore the OPERA analysis was two–fold. In the first case the $\Delta m_{41} > 1$ eV^2 region was considered, where almost no correlation with the effective mixing angle is present. Then the exclusion limit on the plane of the phase vs the mixing angle can be extracted (figure 2). When marginalization over the phase is made, the limit $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau} < 0.11$ at 90% C.L. is obtained (preliminary).

To extend the search for a possible fourth sterile neutrino down to small $\Delta m^2_{\mu\tau}$ values, a second kind of analysis has been performed by OPERA using the GLoBES software, which takes into account the non-zero Δm^2_{12} value and also matter effects, the Earth density being approximated by a constant value estimated with the PREM shell–model. This time the Δm^2_{31} parameter has been profiled out (see [12] for more details and references). In figure 3 the preliminary 90% CL exclusion plot is reported in the Δm^2_{41} vs $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau}$ parameter space. The most stringent limits of direct searches for $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$ oscillations at short-baselines obtained by the NOMAD [13] and CHORUS [14] experiments are also shown.

Another very interesting analysis is ongoing, in progress in OPERA on the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ search. The 2013 analysis will be updated using the full data set and a much less approximate analysis as



Figure 2: 90% C.L. exclusion limits in the $\phi_{\mu\tau}$ vs $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau}$ parameter space for normal (NH, dashed red) and inverted (IH, solid blue) hierarchies, and assuming $\Delta m_{41} > 1$ eV^2. Bands are drawn to indicate the excluded regions.



Figure 3: OPERA preliminary 90% C.L. exclusion limits in the Δm^2_{41} vs $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu\tau}$ parameter space for the normal (NH, red) and inverted (IH, blue) hierarchy of the three standard neutrino masses. The exclusion plots by NOMAD [13] and CHORUS [14] are also shown. Bands are drawn to indicate the excluded regions.

previously done. The preliminary selection is shown in table 1. The exclusion region will be set in the plane Δm^2_{41} vs $\sin^2 2\theta_{\mu e}$.

4. MINOS and SuperK analyses

The MINOS and SuperK collaborations have also studied in detail the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\mu$ and $\nu_e \to \nu_e$ oscillations to exclude extra contributions from $\nu_\mu \to \nu_s$ oscillations. Recent results have been given by MINOS that makes use of the NuMi beam at FNAL [15], and SuperK by using the atmospheric flux [16]. MINOS is also analyzing the $\overline{\nu}$ running–mode data–sample and their updated analysis on $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ will be soon released.

	all energy range	$E < 20$ GeV
ν_e candidates (30% data)	19	4
ν_e candidates (all data)	52	9

Table 1: The preliminary selected ν_e candidates by OPERA.

The SuperK analysis is two–fold, considering either $|U_{e4}| = 0$ with matter effects or the full PMNS and discarding the matter effect. In the latter case a strong limit is obtained, $|U_{\mu 4}| < 0.04$ at 90% C.L., for $\Delta m^2_{41} > 0.1$ eV^2, for a total exposure of 282 kton–year.

5. Conclusions and Perspectives

The long–standing issue on the existence of sterile neutrino states at the eV mass scale can receive new relevant inputs from the accelerator Long–Baseline experiments, like OPERA, MINOS and SuperK. From one side LBL, owing to the large L/E values, can only look at the averaged oscillation pattern (lacking any oscillatory behavior of data). From the other side, the not–negligible interference between flavours rises up dependencies on the mass hierarchy and the CP–violation phase.

New results were recently published by the three collaborations, either on $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\mu$ disappearance or on the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$ appearance. All the results put stringent exclusion limits on the effective mixing angles between ν_μ/ν_τ and ν_s, so increasing the tension with the positive results on ν_e appearance/disappearance. With regards to ν_e, OPERA and MINOS+ will soon release reliable results with their large data–set, by properly taking into account the extended $3+1$ scenario.

In case of existence of a sterile neutrino at the eV mass–scale this situation points towards a rather low effective mixing angle, of the order of 1%, between sterile and the standard neutrino flavours. Therefore for any experiment/proposal aiming to provide new results it is mandatory to reach a sensitivity of that level.

The sterile neutrino story has so far been developed either by trying to establish the hints (each at 2–3 σ level) on ν_e appearance/disappearance, or looking at flavour connected channels, like the ν_μ disappearance one. Within the next 2–3 years experiments on reactors and with radioactive sources can confirm or disprove the ν_e anomalies, while there is presently no reliable experiment [17] looking at the interference at the level of 1% mixing between sterile and *muon/tau* neutrino states other than the LBL ones. These however have no possibility to observe the oscillation pattern. Therefore, new specific experiments should be settled and approved, in case reactor/source current investigations would provide positive results.

References

[1] A. Aguilar et al. (LSND Collaboration), *Evidence for neutrino oscillations from the observation of $\overline{\nu}_e$ appearance in a $\overline{\nu}_\mu$ beam*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 64** (2001) 112007 [hep-ex/0104049].

[2] A. Aguilar-Arevalo et al. (MiniBooNE Collaboration), *Improved Search for $\overline{\nu}_\mu \to \overline{\nu}_e$ Oscillations in the MiniBooNE Experiment*, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110** (2013) 161801 [arXiv:1303.2588].

[3] G. Mention et al., *The reactor antineutrino anomaly*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 83** (2011) 073006 [arXiv:1101.2755].

[4] M.A. Acero, C. Giunti and M. Laveder, *Limits on ν_e and $\overline{\nu}_e$ disappearance from Gallium and reactor experiments*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 78** (2008) 073009 [arXiv:0711.4222].

[5] C. Giunti and M. Laveder, *Statistical significance of the Gallium anomaly*, *Phys. Rev.* **C 83** (2011) 065504 [arXiv:1006.3244].

[6] See e.g. the proceedings of this conference related to the Neutrino afternoon sessions of July 23$^{\text{rd}}$ and 24$^{\text{th}}$.

[7] J. Kopp, P. A. N. Machado, M. Maltoni, and T. Schwetz, *Sterile Neutrino Oscillations: The Global Picture*, *J. High Energy Phys.* **05** (2013) 050 [arXiv:1303.3011];
T. Schwetz, *Status of sterile neutrino oscillations*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 235–236** (2013) 2292235;
C. Giunti, M. Laveder, Y. F. Li, Q. Y. Liu, and H. W. Long, *A Pragmatic View of Short–Baseline Neutrino Oscillations*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 86** (2012) 113014.

[8] G. Sirri (for the OPERA Collaboration), *Results from the OPERA experiment in the CNGS beam*, these proceedings; N. Agafonova et al. (OPERA Collaboration), *Discovery of tau neutrino appearance in the CNGS neutrino beam with the OPERA experiment*, *Phy. Rev. Lett.* 115 (2015) 121802, [arXiv:1507.01417].

[9] B. Pontecorvo, *Sov. Phys.JETP* **26** (1968) 984; Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa, and S. Sakata, *Prog. Theor. Phys.* **28** (1962) 870.

[10] P.A.R. Ade et al. (Planck Collaboration), submitted to *A&A* (2015), arXiv:1502.01589v2.

[11] A. Palazzo, *Consistent analysis of the $\nu_\mu \to \nu_e$ sterile neutrinos searches*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 91** (2015) 091301(R) [arXiv:1503.03966].

[12] N. Agafonova et al. (OPERA Collaboration), *Limits on muon–neutrino to tau–neutrino oscillations induced by a sterile neutrino state obtained by OPERA at the CNGS beam*, *JHEP* **06** (2015) 069 [arXiv:1503.01876].

[13] P. Astier et al. (NOMAD Collaboration), *Final NOMAD results on $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$ and $\nu_e \to \nu_\tau$oscillations including a new search for ν_τ appearance using hadronic τ decays*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 611** (2001) 3 [hep-ex/0106102].

[14] E. Eskut et al. (CHORUS Collaboraiton), *Final results on $\nu_\mu \to \nu_\tau$ oscillation from the CHORUS experiment*, *Nucl. Phys.* **B 793** (2008) 326 [arXiv:0710.3361].

[15] A.B. Sousa (for the MINOS and MINOS+ Collaborations), *First MINOS+ Data and New Results from MINOS*, in proceedings of *Neutrino2014 conference*, arXiv:1502.07715; A. Timmons (MINOS Collaboration), *Searching for Sterile Neutrinos at MINOS*, in proceedings of *NuPhys2014 conference*, arXiv:1504.04046.

[16] K. Abe et al. (SuperKamiokande Collaboration), *Limits on sterile neutrino mixing using atmospheric neutrinos in Super–Kamiokande*, *Phys. Rev.* **D 91** (2015) 052019.

[17] A. Anokhina et al. (NESSiE Collaboration), *Search for Sterile Neutrinos in the Muon Neutrino Disappearance Mode at FNAL*, arXiv:1503.07471v2. The proposal has not been accepted by FNAL.